



THE ROLE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF DIALECTS IN THE MODERN UZBEK LITERARY LANGUAGE: LINGUISTIC INTEGRATION AND DIFFERENTIATION

Bozorov Behruz Ilhom o‘g‘li

Turin politexnika universiteti akademik

litseyi ona tili va adabiyot fani o‘qituvchisi

Abstract

This article explores the fundamental role of dialects (local varieties) in the formation, evolution, and enrichment of the modern Uzbek literary language. The Uzbek language possesses a unique dialectal structure, incorporating elements from three major Turkic groups: Karluk, Kipchak, and Oghuz. This research analyzes the reciprocal influence between dialectal lexis and literary norms, the stylistic functions of regionalisms in literature, and the challenges posed by globalization to dialectal diversity. The study concludes that dialects serve as the primary "genetic fund" for the literary language's continuous development.

Introduction

The Unique Dialectal Landscape of Uzbekistan

The Uzbek language is distinguished within the Turkic family by its extraordinary dialectal complexity. Unlike many other Turkic languages that trace their roots to a single tribal group, the modern Uzbek linguistic identity is a synthesis of three distinct historical-linguistic strata:

* The Karluk Group: Represented by the urban dialects of Fergana, Tashkent, and Samarkand.

* The Kipchak Group: Found in rural areas and the northern regions, characterized by specific phonetic features (e.g., the "j-ing" phenomenon).

* The Oghuz Group: Predominantly spoken in the Khorezm region and some parts of Southern Uzbekistan.

This multifaceted background provides the modern literary language (adabiy til) with a vast reservoir of synonyms, grammatical structures, and expressive tools.



2. Historical Context: From Tribal Dialects to National Standard

The formation of the modern Uzbek literary language in the early 20th century was a conscious process of selection. Linguists and writers, such as Fitrat and Elbek, sought to create a standard that was accessible to all. The Tashkent-Fergana (Karluk) group was chosen as the phonetic and morphological base due to its "vowel harmony" (or lack thereof in urban dialects) and its historical status in urban administration and culture.

However, the literary language did not develop in isolation. It absorbed the vitality of the Kipchak dialects' oral folklore and the poetic elegance of the Oghuz traditions.

3. Lexical Enrichment: Dialects as a Source of Innovation

The literary language is a dynamic organism. When the standard lexicon lacks a term for a specific nuance, it frequently borrows from local dialects.

* **Agricultural and Nature Terminology:** Many terms related to irrigation (jo'yak, egat) and animal husbandry were absorbed from Kipchak rural dialects into the standard language.

* **Synonymic Abundance:** The word for "rain" is yomg'ir in the standard language, but writers use dialectal variations like jala (downpour) or shivalama (drizzle) to provide precise atmospheric descriptions.

4. Morphological and Phonetic Interaction

While the literary language enforces a strict standard, the influence of dialects persists in everyday speech and informal communication.

* **Phonetic Variation:** The "O-ing" of the Tashkent dialect vs. the "A-ing" of rural areas. While the standard follows the Fargana-Tashkent model, regional phonetic coloring remains a marker of local identity.

* **Morphological Adaptation:** The literary case system (e.g., the genitive suffix -ning) often interacts with dialectal forms (the shortened -ni or -n) in spoken discourse. Understanding these interactions is vital for sociolinguists studying the "speech of the masses."



5. Stylistic Functions in Literature (Linguo-Poetics)

The use of dialectisms is a powerful tool in Uzbek literature. Prominent writers like Abdulla Qodiriy and Abdulla Qahhor used regional speech patterns to:

- * Individualize Characters: To show a character's social background and geographic origin.
- * Realism: To create an authentic "village" or "bazaar" atmosphere.
- * Ethno-color: To preserve the unique cultural "smell" and "taste" of a specific region (e.g., the Khorezmian soul in the works of Erkin Samandar).

6. Dialects in the Age of Globalization and Digitalization

The 21st century presents a dual challenge. Mass media, the internet, and a standardized education system promote the literary language, leading to the gradual erosion of local dialects—a process known as dialect leveling.

- * The Positive Aspect: National unification and ease of communication across regions.
- * The Negative Aspect: The loss of linguistic biodiversity and unique cultural expressions that have existed for centuries.

8. The Role of Dialects in Phraseological Enrichment

Literary language expansion is not limited to individual words; it also involves the absorption of idiomatic expressions from regional dialects. These are known as dialectal phraseologies. Regional idioms often carry a specific "cultural flavor" that standard language lacks.

For instance, certain agricultural idioms from the Fergana Valley, which describe meticulous labor, have become part of the national literary standard. Similarly, Khorezmian idioms, which reflect a maritime and oasis history, provide a unique metaphorical depth to modern Uzbek poetry.

- * Scientific Analysis: When a dialectal idiom enters the literary language, it undergoes a process of neutralization. Its phonetic regionalisms are removed to fit the standard pronunciation, but its semantic uniqueness is preserved. This allows the literary language to express complex emotions and situations using the "ancestral wisdom" stored in local dialects.



9. Morphological Interference: The Conflict of Suffixes

In this section, we analyze the structural impact of dialects on the spoken form of the literary language. While the written standard is strict, the morphological interference from dialects is unavoidable in oral discourse.

* **Case System Variations:** In many Kipchak dialects, the genitive (-ning) and accusative (-ni) cases have merged into a single form (-ni or -n). While this is considered "incorrect" in formal writing, its frequency in television and radio dialogues shows a subtle pressure on the literary norm to simplify its structure.

* **Verb Tense Paradigms:** The standard literary suffix for the present continuous is -yap. However, the dialectal forms -vot (Tashkent) and -yotir (Khorezm/Classical style) continue to compete for space.

This competition between "norm" and "dialect" creates a functional diglossia in Uzbekistan, where speakers constantly switch between the standard for formal writing and their regional dialect for emotional and social proximity.

10. Linguistic Policy: Preservation vs. Standardization

As we reach the final pages of the research, it is crucial to discuss the Sociolinguistic Perspective. The rapid standardization of the Uzbek language in the Soviet and post-independence eras has led to the marginalization of many unique dialectal features.

* **The Risk of Loss:** Many archaic words found in the Oghuz and Kipchak dialects are essentially "living fossils" of Old Turkic. If these dialects disappear, scholars lose a primary source for etymological research.

* **The Recommendation:** Modern linguistics suggests a "Pluricentric Approach." While a single literary standard is necessary for national unity, the preservation of dialects through local media, regional literature, and ethnographic studies is essential to maintain the linguistic DNA of the nation.

11. Expanded Bibliography (Academic Sources for the Final Page)

* Reshetov, V. V. (1978). *Uzbek Dialectology*. Tashkent: Fan Publishing.

* Shoabdurahmonov, Sh. (1980). *The Dialectal Basis of the Uzbek Language*. Tashkent: Academy of Sciences.

* Abdullaev, F. (1961). *Phonetics of the Khorezmian Dialects*. Tashkent.



-
- * Tojiev, Yo. (1990). Grammatical Features of Uzbek Dialects. Tashkent.
 - * Gulinov, A. (2015). The Interaction of Literary Norms and Dialectal Speech. Samarkand State University Press.
 - * Ibragimov, S. (1972). The Fergana Dialect Group: A Morphological Study.

7. Conclusion

In the modern era, dialects are far from being "corruptions" of the language. Instead, they are the vital roots that feed the tree of the literary language. A healthy relationship between the adabiy til (standard) and the sheva (dialect) ensures that the Uzbek language remains both unified and artistically rich. Future linguistic policy should focus on documenting endangered dialects while maintaining the integrity of the national standard.

References

1. Reshetov, V. V., Shoabdurahmonov, Sh. (1978). O‘zbek dialektologiyasi. Toshkent: O‘qituvchi.
2. Shoabdurahmonov, Sh. (1980). O‘zbek tili shevalari. Toshkent: Fan.
3. Abdullayev, F. (1961). Xorazm shevalari fonetikasi. Toshkent: O‘zbekiston SSR Fanlar akademiyasi nashriyoti.
4. Ibragimov, S. (1972). O‘zbek tili shevalarining morfologiyasi. Toshkent: Fan.
5. Borovkov, A. K. (1952). Uzbekskiy literaturniy yazik i ego dialektalnaya osnova. Moskva.
6. Doniyorov, X. (1975). O‘zbek tili qipchoq shevalari leksikasi. Toshkent: Fan.
7. Tojiyev, Yo. (1990). O‘zbek shevalari grammatik xususiyatlari. Toshkent: O‘qituvchi. * Crystal, D. (2003).